



## Assignment 11, Complexity Theory, SoSe 15

Markus Bläser, Holger Dell, Kartteek Sreenivasaiah  
<http://www-cc.cs.uni-saarland.de/course/47/>

---

Due: July 15, 2015, 11:00

---

**Exercise 11.1** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complexity class. Show the following:

- If  $\mathcal{C} = \text{co-}\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\text{BP-}\mathcal{C} = \text{co- BP-}\mathcal{C}$ .
- If  $\text{BP-}\mathcal{C}$  allows probability amplification, then  $\text{BP- BP-}\mathcal{C} = \text{BP-}\mathcal{C}$ .

**Exercise 11.2** Show that the following problem is  $\exists\oplus\text{P}$  complete: Given a formula in 3-CNF in variables  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  and  $z_1, \dots, z_m$ , is there an assignment to  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  such that the resulting formula has an odd number of satisfying assignments to  $z_1, \dots, z_m$ ?

A *straight line program* (or SLP for short), is a sequence of instructions that correspond to a sequential evaluation of an arithmetic circuit. Formally an SLP is a sequence of instructions  $g_{-n}, \dots, g_{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_m$ , where  $g_{-n}, \dots, g_{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , either  $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , or  $g_i = (*, L_i, R_i)$ , with  $* \in \{+, \times, -\}$ ,  $L_i, R_i \in \{g_{-n}, \dots, g_{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}\}$ . Naturally for every SLP we can associate an integer value with every instruction  $g_i$ . The integer represented by the given SLP is the value of  $g_m$ . We define two computational problems on SLPs:

*EquSLP* Given an SLP  $P$  representing an integer  $N$ , decide if  $N = 0$ .

*BitSLP* Given an SLP representing an integer  $N$ ,  $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , test if the  $i$ th bit in the  $n$ -bit binary representation of  $N$  is 1.

*PosSLP* Given an SLP computing an integer  $N$ , test if  $N$  is positive, i.e.  $N > 0$ .

**Exercise 11.3** Show that *EquSLP* is polynomial time many-one equivalent to ACIT.

**Exercise 11.4** Show that *BitSLP* is  $\#P$  hard under polynomial time Turing reductions.

In 2006, Allender, Bürgisser, Kjeldgaard-Pedersen and Miltersen showed that *PosSLP* lies in the counting hierarchy (CH), where CH is defined as the union of the classes  $\text{PP}$ ,  $\text{PP}^{\text{PP}}$ ,  $\text{PP}^{\text{PP}^{\text{PP}}}$ ,  $\dots$ . However, it is believed that this bound is not tight, and an exact complexity characterization of *PosSLP* is an important open question. For example, showing NP hardness of *PosSLP* will certainly get one a Master's thesis, or even a PhD thesis! A PH upperbound will also be a breakthrough in understanding *PosSLP*.